ORIGINAL



## BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

2 COMMISSIONERS

Arizona Corporation Commission

DOCKETED

KRISTIN K. MAYES, Chairman GARY PIERCE PAUL NEWMAN

JUL - 2 2009

SANDRA D. KENNEDY BOB STUMP DOCKETED BY MI

7

1

4

5

б

8

9 10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF VERIZON CALIFORNIA, INC., VERIZON LONG DISTANCE, LLC, VERIZON ENTERPRISES SOLUTIONS, LLC, FRONTIER COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, NEW COMMUNICATIONS OF THE SOUTHWEST INC., AND NEW COMMUNICATIONS ONLINE AND LONG DISTANCE, INC., FOR APPROVAL OF THE TRANSFER OF VERIZON'S LOCAL EXCHANGE AND LONG DISTANCE BUSINESS

## JOINT PROPOSAL REGARDING PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE

T-01846B-09-0274 T-03289A-09-0274 T-03189A-09-0274 T-20679A-09-0274 T-20680A-09-0274 T-20681A-09-0274

On June 23, 2009, Verizon California, Inc. ("Verizon California"), Verizon Long Distance, LLC, Verizon Enterprise Solutions, LLC, Frontier Communications Corporation ("Frontier"), New Communications of the Southwest Inc., and New Communications Online and Long Distance, Inc., (collectively, the "Applicants") filed a Request for Expedited Procedural Conference to set a procedural schedule in the above-captioned case. On June 30, 2009, the administrative law judge ("ALJ") held a telephonic procedural conference with the Applicants and Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") to discuss a procedural schedule, and the ALJ encouraged the parties to attempt to reach agreement on a mutually acceptable procedural schedule. Since the procedural

26

| 1        | ı |
|----------|---|
| 2        |   |
| 2        |   |
| 4        |   |
| 5        |   |
| 6        |   |
| 7        |   |
| 8        |   |
| 9        |   |
| 10       |   |
| 11       |   |
| 12       |   |
| 13       |   |
| 14       |   |
| 15       |   |
| 16       |   |
| 17       |   |
| 18<br>19 |   |
| 19       |   |
| 20       |   |
| 21       |   |
| 22       |   |
| 23       |   |
| 24       |   |
| 25       |   |
| 26       |   |
|          |   |

conference, the Applicants and Staff have further discussed a schedule and have reached a mutual agreement regarding a proposed schedule in this case. Thus, the Applicants and Staff hereby propose the following schedule:

| Applicants' Direct Testimony        | Wednesday, July 15, 2009    |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Staff Report and/or Staff Testimony | Tuesday, September 15, 2009 |
| Applicants' Rebuttal Testimony      | Tuesday, September 29, 2009 |
| Staff Rebuttal Testimony            | Wednesday, October 14, 2009 |
| Applicant's Rejoinder Testimony     | At the hearing              |
| Hearing Date                        | Thursday, October 22, 2009  |

The Applicants further request that the ALJ specify in a procedural order the method and form of notice that should be provided in this case, together with a deadline for completing notice, and specify a deadline for filing motions to intervene.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 2nd day of July, 2009.

UTILITIES DIVISION STAFF

Maureen Scott, Staff Attorney

Legal Division

Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007

SNELL & WILMER

Jeffrey (M). Crockett One Arizona Center

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2202

Attorneys for Frontier Communications

Corporation

LEWIS AND ROCA 1 2 3 Michael T. Hallam 4 40 N. Central Avenue 5 Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Attorneys for Verizon б 7 ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies 8 of the foregoing filed this 2nd day of 9 July, 2009, with: 10 **Docket Control** ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 11 1200 West Washington Street 12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 13 A COPY of the foregoing mailed this 2nd day of July, 2009, to: 14 15 Belinda Martin, Administrative Law Judge Hearing Division 16 ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION 17 1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007 18 19 20 10246417.1 21 22 23

24

25

26